

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,531	03/30/2005	Paul Dent	ON/4-32419A	8871
1095 NOVARTIS	7590 02/08/2008		EXAMINER	
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			SZNAIDMAN, MARCOS L	
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I PLAZA 104/3 /ER, NJ 07936-1080	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1611	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
e .				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	02/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/510,531	DENT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		MARCOS SZNAIDMAN	4173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period fo	• •		-> TUDTY (00) DAYO				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. Communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ja</u>	nuary 2008.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-22</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-192.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the serance sopies not reserve	<b>u</b> .				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4 pages</u> .							

Application/Control Number: 10/510,531

Art Unit: 4173

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Based on Applicant's new set of claims submitted in the reply filed on January 3, 2008, the requirement for species election is withdrawn.

#### Status of Claims

Cancellation of claims 1-16 in the reply filed on January 3, 2008 is acknowledged.

Claims 17-22 are currently pending and are the subject of this office action.

Claims 17-22 are presently under examination.

# **Priority**

The present application is a 371 of PCT/IB03/01418 filed on 04/04/2003, and claims priority to provisional application No. 60/371,330 filed on 04/10/2002.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,531

Art Unit: 4173

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 17-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et. al. (Blood, Vol. 98(11), 2001:146a, abstract 615, cited by applicant).

Claims 17-22 recite a method of treating Bcr/Abl-positive leukemia resistant to STI571 (Glivec or Imatinib), comprising administering to a patient in need thereof a combination of a) flavopiridol and b) STI571 in the form of a pharmaceutically acceptable salt, and optionally at least one pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, in a synergistically effective molar ratio (flavopiridol/STI571) range of 1:1 to 1:10.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,531

Art Unit: 4173

Yu et. al describe a synergistic combination of flavopiridol and STI571, in a 1:1.3 ratio, to induce mitochondrial damage and apoptosis in Bcr/Abl-positive leukemia cells resistant to STI571 (see entire abstract).

At the time of the invention it would have been prima facie obvious to for a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply the teachings of Yu et. al. (the synergistic effect of flavopiridol and b) STI571 in Bcr/Abl-positive leukemia cells resistant to STI571) to develop a method of treating Bcr/Abl-positive leukemia resistant to STI57, thus resulting in the practice of claims 17-22 with a reasonable expectation of success.

### Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARCOS SZNAIDMAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Woodward can be reached on 571 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,531 Page 5

Art Unit: 4173

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MLS January 24, 2008

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600